



# SELECTION CRITERIA FOR AFFILIATED FELLOWSHIPS

## 2018-2019 Doctoral Competition

### Health Sciences and Natural Sciences and Engineering

Criterion	Weighting
<b>Academic excellence</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Academic record</li> <li>• Scholarships and awards held</li> <li>• Duration of previous studies</li> </ul>	<b>30%</b>
<b>Research ability or potential</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Quality of contributions to research and development</li> <li>• Relevance of work experience and academic training to field of proposed research</li> <li>• Significance, feasibility, and merit of proposed research, and justification for location of tenure</li> <li>• Ability to think critically</li> <li>• Ability to apply skills and knowledge</li> <li>• Judgment</li> <li>• Originality</li> <li>• Initiative and autonomy</li> <li>• Enthusiasm for research</li> <li>• Determination and ability to complete projects within an appropriate period of time</li> </ul>	<b>50%</b>
<b>Communication, interpersonal and leadership abilities</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The ability or potential to communicate scientific concepts clearly and logically in written and oral formats. For example, this could include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ quality of the application's presentation;</li> <li>○ participation in preparing publications; and</li> <li>○ awards for oral presentations or papers.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Professional and relevant extracurricular interactions and collaborations. For example, this could include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ mentoring;</li> <li>○ teaching;</li> <li>○ supervisory experience;</li> <li>○ project management;</li> <li>○ chairing committees;</li> <li>○ organizing conferences and meetings; and</li> <li>○ elected positions held.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<b>20%</b>

### Social Sciences and Humanities

- past academic results, as demonstrated by transcripts, awards and distinctions;
- the program of study and its potential contribution to the advancement of knowledge;
- relevant professional and academic experience, including research training, as demonstrated by conference presentations and scholarly publications; and
- two written evaluations from referees.